

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/689,574	10/12/2000	Dean Homan	20.2751	8258

23718 7590 08/01/2003

SCHLUMBERGER OILFIELD SERVICES
200 GILLINGHAM LANE
MD 200-9
SUGAR LAND, TX 77478

EXAMINER
PATIDAR, JAY M

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 08/01/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comme		09/689,574		HOMAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summa	iry	Examiner		Art Unit				
The MAIL INC DATE of this communication and		Jay M. Patidar	- h 4 ! 4 h - 4 h	2862	lelen o			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status								
1) Responsive to communication	n(s) filed on <u>05 Ju</u>	ne 2003 .						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)☐ This	action is non-fir	nal.					
3) Since this application is in co					ne merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-20,48-57 and 79-87</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-20,48-57 and 79-87</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objecte								
8) Claim(s) are subject to Application Papers	restriction and/or	election requirer	nent.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
,			ed to by the Exa	miner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correcti	on filed oni	is: a)□ approve	ed b) 🗌 disappro	oved by the Examir	ier.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO		5) 🔲	Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (P				

This communication is in response to applicants' amendment filed on June
 2003.

2. Claims 11,48 are objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "each at least one" at line 7 needs to be corrected. It is unclear as to what is meant by "each at least one". It is also true for claim 48.

Appropriate correction is required.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors

Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology

Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S.

patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before

November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined

Application/Control Number: 09/689,574 Page 3

Art Unit: 2862

under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 11-14,19,20,48-51,56-57,79-81,84-86 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hagiwara et al. (6,181,138).

As to claims 11-14,19,20,48-51,56-57,79-81,84-86, Hagiwara discloses an apparatus for monitoring the characteristics of formation with a tubular 102 having an elongated body with a longitudinal axis; at least one antenna (208,210,212,216,218,220) disposed on the exterior of the tubular axis at an angle in between 0 to 90 degrees (Note Figs. 2,4,5,9) with respect to the axis of the tubular to provide measurement about a targeted orientation within the formation. Hagiwara inherently discloses electronics to activate antenna to transmit or receive electromagnetic energy.

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 15-18,52-55,82-83,87 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hagiwara.

Application/Control Number: 09/689,574 Page 4

Art Unit: 2862

As to claims 15-18,52-55, Hagiwara discloses an apparatus for measuring the resistivity of the formation as explained above. Hagiwara fails to show an insulator between the antenna and the tubular body or a shield. It is common practice in the art to use such insulator or shield to protect transmitter/receivers from damage or to insulate tubular body from the coil (as evidence in Hubans and as applicant admitted on page 13, lines 24+).

As to claim 82-83,87, Hagiwara does not show angled recess. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the slot of Hagiwara to make said slot angled to mount antenna in it so that the mounting of the antenna would be sturdy and more stable. This would also prevent antenna from moving.

- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to rejected claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/689,574

Art Unit: 2862

Page 5

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jay M. Patidar whose telephone number is 703-308-6723. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thur 7:00-5:30.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-0956.

Jay M. Patidar Primary Examiner Art Unit 2862

\*\*\*

July 30, 2003